arXiv:1612.09026v1 [math.DG] 29 Dec 2016

Volume renormalization for conformally compact asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds in dimension four

Shih-Tsai Feng
stfeng@mail.ndhu.edu.tw
Department of Applied Mathematics
National Dong Hwa University, Hualien, Taiwan 97401

Abstract. In this paper, we derive a new renormalized volume formula for conformally compact asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds in dimesion four. The formula generlizes the ones given in Anderson [4], Albin [1], and Chang-Qing-Yang [8] for the case of Poincare-Einstein manifolds. We also derive variational formulas for the renormalized volume seen as a functional on the manifold.

1 Introduction

Let M be a compact connected oriented manifold with non-empty boundary ∂M. Following Penrose, a complete Riemannian metric g on M is conformally compact if there is a function ρ on $\overline{M}$ such that $\rho|_{\partial M} = 0$, $d\rho \neq 0$ on ∂M and $\rho > 0$ on M, and such that $\overline{g} = \rho^2 g$ extends at least continuously to a Riemannian metric $\overline{g}$ on $\overline{M}$. Such a function ρ is called a boundary defining funciton (bdf) for ∂M. We will assume that $\overline{g}$ is at least C^3 smooth up to $\overline{M}$. Conversely if $\overline{g}$ is any smooth Riemannian metric on $\overline{M}$ and if ρ is any C^1 boundary defining function, then $g = \rho^{-2}\overline{g}$ gives a complete conformally

compact metric on M.

Note that the defining function is not unique, since any multiple of positive smooth function on $\overline{M}$ gives another defining function. Hence, both the metric $\overline{g}$ and its induced metric γ on ∂M are not uniquely defined by (M, g). However, the conformal class $[\gamma]$ of the boundary metric on ∂M is uniquely determined by the complete metric g. Because of this, we call $(\partial M, [\gamma])$ the conformal infinity of (M, g).

For the conformal transformation $\overline{g} = \rho^2 g$, we have the following formulas for curvatures, see Besse [6].

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{K}_{ij} &= \frac{K_{ij} + |\overline{\nabla}\rho|^2}{\rho^2} - \frac{1}{\rho}(\overline{\nabla}^2\rho(\overline{x}_i, \overline{x}_i) + \overline{\nabla}^2\rho(\overline{x}_j, \overline{x}_j)), \\
\overline{r} &= r - 2\frac{1}{\rho}\overline{\nabla}^2\rho - (\frac{\overline{\triangle}\rho}{\rho} - 3\frac{|\overline{\nabla}\rho|^2}{\rho^2})\overline{g}, \\
\overline{s} &= \frac{1}{\rho^2}s - 6\frac{\overline{\triangle}\rho}{\rho} + 12\frac{\rho^2}{|\overline{\nabla}\rho|^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

From Riemannian curvature transformation formula, we define the complete metric g to be asymptotically hyperbolic (AH) if the norm of the gradient of ρ with respect to the metric $\overline{g}$ is one, i.e. $||\overline{\nabla}\rho||_{\overline{g}} = 1$ on ∂M. Note that $||\overline{\nabla}\rho||_{\overline{g}}$ is an invariant of the conformal infinity $(\partial M, [\gamma])$. We have the following lemma from [14]. See lemma 2.1 in [14].

LEMMA 1 (Graham). *If (M, g) is AH, then any metric on ∂M in the conformal class $[\gamma]$ determines a unique boundary defining function ρ in a neighborhood of ∂M such that $\overline{g}|_{\partial M}$ is the prescribed boundary metric and such that $||\overline{\nabla}\rho||_{\overline{g}} = 1$ in the neighborhood.*

We will call such a boundary defining function, a special bdf. From now on, we will assume that (M, g) is AH, and a special bdf ρ is chosen. By the above lemma, in a neighborhood defined by ρ, the manifold M is diffeomorphic to $\partial M \times [0, \epsilon)$. If we suppose that the compactification is at least C^3, then in

$\partial M \times [0, \epsilon)$, the compactified metric $\overline{g}$ has the form

$$\overline{g} = d\rho^2 + g_\rho.$$

The metric g_ρ can be seen as a family of metrics on ∂M and it has the expansion

$$g_\rho = g^{(0)} + \rho g^{(1)} + \rho^2 g^{(2)} + \rho^3 g^{(3)} + o(\rho^3)$$

Here $g^{(0)} = \gamma$ is the boundary metric and $g^{(j)} = \frac{1}{j!}\mathcal{L}^{(j)}_{\overline{\nabla}\rho} g_\rho|_{\partial M}$ is the j-th Lie derivative. We have the following

LEMMA 2. *The compactification is totally geodesic, namely $(\partial M, \gamma)$ is a totally geodesic submanifold in $(M, \overline{g})$ if and only if $g^{(1)} = 0$.*

We will assume from now on that (M, g) is a conformally compact AH manifold of dimension four with a totally geodesic compactification and a special bdf is chosen. In the case of Poincare-Einstein metric, it is proved in [14], that $(\partial M, \gamma)$ is totally geodesic. This implies that the assumption above is natural.

In general it is too restrictive to suppose that the metric $\overline{g}$ is C^∞ smooth up to the boundary. In the case of conformally compact Einstein metrics (Poincare-Einstein metrics), it is well known that there are log terms in the expansion of $\overline{g}$ near ∂M, see [14], [1].

Under the above assumption, the metric has the expansion

$$g_\rho = \gamma + \rho^2 g^{(2)} + \rho^3 g^{(3)} + o(\rho^3) \tag{1}$$

in the neighborhood $\partial M \times [0, \epsilon)$. The volume form of the metric g in $\partial M \times [0, \epsilon)$ takes the form

$$dvol_g = (\frac{\det g_\rho}{\det \gamma})^{1/2} \frac{dvol_\gamma d\rho}{\rho^4}. \tag{2}$$

Here $(\frac{\det g_\rho}{\det \gamma})^{1/2}$ has the expansion

$$(\frac{\det g_\rho}{\det \gamma})^{1/2} = 1 + \rho^2 v^{(2)} + \rho^3 v^{(3)} + o(\rho^3), \tag{3}$$

for some functions $v^{(j)}$ on ∂M. Note that a simple computation implies that there is no first order term of ρ in the aobve expansion of $(\frac{\det g_\rho}{\det \gamma})^{1/2}$. Later in section two, we will show that the same is true for any full contraction of the curvature and its covariant derivatives.

Now consider the asymptotics of the volume $Vol_g(\{\rho > \epsilon\})$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ by integrating $dvol_g$ above. We have

$$Vol_g(\{\rho > \epsilon\}) = \int_{\rho > \epsilon} dvol_g = C_0 \epsilon^{-3} + C_2 \epsilon^{-1} + V + o(1).$$

The coefficients C_0, C_2 are integrals over ∂M of local curvature expression of the metric γ. V is the so-called renormalized volume, it depends a priori on the choice of the special bdf.

In the case of Poincare-Einstein metrics, it is now clear, see Graham [14] Theorem3 .1 or Albin [1] Theorem 2.5, that the renormalized volume is in fact independent of the choice of the special bdf. In the present situation, we have

LEMMA 3. *In dimension four, V is independent of the choice of γ.*

Renormalized volume and its formula first appeared in the string theory community. They are interested in the conformally compact Einstein metrics because of the role they play in the so-called AdS/CFT correspoidence. In dimension 4, the renormalized volume has the property that it is a conformal invariant. The first mathematical proof was given by Graham in [14]. In [4], using the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet theorem with boundary, Anderson first provided a differential geometric understanding of the renormalized volume. His result secializes to dimension 4 and in some places the computation still depends on the formal expansion. In Anderson's formula the renormalized

volume coupled with the integral of the Weyl tensor which is a conformal invariant. Hence he also proved that the renormalized volume is a conformal invariant. Since then, attempts have been made to generalized to higher dimensions and to give a rigorous proof. See [1] and [8].

In this paper, we show that the reormalized volume formula holds in a more general situation. Namely, we show that in the case (M, g) is a conformally compact AH manifold of dimension four with a totally geodesic compactification, there is a well defined renormalized volume. We also compute the variation of the renormalized vlolume.

The content of the paper is the following. In section 2, after recalling some basic facts about double forms, we compute the renormalized volume of conformally compact AH manifolds. The proof is in spirit similar to that of Albin's. The differnece is since we do not assume that the metric is Einstein, there are odd terms in the expansions of Christoffel symbils, curvatures and their full contractions. This complicates the computation. In section 3, we compute directly the first variation of the renormalized volume.

Note that in the case of minimal surfaces in three dimensional Poincare-Einstein manifolds, Alexikas-Mazzeo [2] considered a situation similar to our case.

2 Renormalized volume formula

We begin by recalling some basic facts about double forms, for more details see Labbi [19] and [20], or Gray [17]. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n. Define $\mathcal{D}^{p,q} = \wedge^p(M) \otimes \wedge^q(M)$ to be the double form of type (p, q). The Kulkarni-Nomizu product is given for $a_1 \otimes a_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ and $b_1 \otimes b_2 \in \mathcal{D}^{r,s}$ by

$$(a_1 \otimes a_2) \cdot (b_1 \otimes b_2) = a_1 \wedge a_2 \otimes b_1 \wedge b_2.$$

There is a contraction map $c : \mathcal{D}^{p+1,q+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ defined by

$$c\omega(x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p, y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_q) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega(e_j \wedge x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_p, e_j \wedge y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge y_q)$$

where $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis. The contraction map has the following property. Let $\omega \in \mathcal{D}^{p,q}$, then

$$c(g \cdot \omega) = gc\omega + (n - p - q)\omega.$$

The natural metric $< \cdot, \cdot >$ on $\wedge^p(M)$ extends to $\mathcal{D}^{p,q}$ and satisfies

$$< g\omega_1, \omega_2 >=< \omega_1, c\omega_2 > . \tag{4}$$

If we regard the curvature $R \in \mathcal{D}^{2,2}$ then $cR = r$, the Ricci tensor, and $c^2R = cr = s$, the scalar curvature. The Hodge star operator $*$ extends in a natural way to a linear map $* : \mathcal{D}^{p,q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{n-p,n-q}$, and it satisfies

$$g\omega = *c * \omega. \tag{5}$$

There are generalized covariant derivatives $D : \mathcal{D}^{p,q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{p+1,q}$ and $\tilde{D} : \mathcal{D}^{p,q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}^{p,q+1}$, so that if we denote by $\mathcal{C}^p$ the symmetric part of $\mathcal{D}^{p,p}$

$$D\tilde{D} + \tilde{D}D : \mathcal{C}^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{p+1} \tag{6}$$

is a generalization to $\mathcal{C}^p$ of the usual Hessian operator on functions. Define $\delta = c\tilde{D} + \tilde{D}c$ and $\tilde{\delta} = cD + Dc$ then $\tilde{\delta}\delta + \delta\tilde{\delta} : \mathcal{C}^{p+1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^p$ is the formal adjoint of the operator $D\tilde{D} + \tilde{D}D$ with respect to the integral saclar product, and we have

$$\tilde{\delta}\delta + \delta\tilde{\delta} = *(D\tilde{D} + \tilde{D}D) * . \tag{7}$$

Finally for $h \in \mathcal{C}^1$, define the self-adjoint operator

$$\begin{aligned} F_h(R)((X,Y)(Z,W)) &= h(R(X,Y)Z,W) - h(R(X,Y)W,Z) + \\ &\quad h(R(Z,W)X,Y) - h(R(Z,W)Y,X). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Then F_h satisfies $F_h(\omega\theta) = F_h(\omega)\theta + \omega F_h(\theta)$ and $< F_h(\omega), \theta >=< \omega, F_h(\theta) >$.

Now we begin our computation of the renormalized volume. At a point $p \in \partial M$, choose coordinate vector fields $\{\partial_{x_i}, \partial_\rho\} = \{\overline{X_s}\}$ for $\overline{g}$ by exponentiating first on the boundary, then into the manifold. This means $\{\partial_{x_i}\}$ forms a normal coordinate chart for $(\partial M, \gamma)$ cenctered at p and are extended into the interior of M along geodesics normal to ∂M. In this way, with ρ as the fourth coordinate, we have

$$\overline{g}_{k4} = \delta_{k4} \tag{9}$$

$1 \leq k \leq 3$. Denote the Christoffel symbols and components of the curvature tensor of $\overline{g}$ in this coordinate chart by $\overline{\Gamma}^k_{ij}$ and $\overline{R}^l_{ijk}$, respectively. We will study the structure of g using the frame $X_s := \rho\overline{X_s}$, $s = 1, \cdots, 4$. We use the letters i, j, k to denote indices from 1 to 3 and s, t, u, v to denote indices from 1 to 4. Note that $[\overline{X_s}, \overline{X_s}] = 0$ for all i, j, but

$$[X_4, X_i] = X_i, [X_i, X_j] = 0 \tag{10}$$

for $i, j \leq 3$. Also note that $g_{ij} = g(X_i, X_j) = \rho^2 g(\overline{X_i}, \overline{X_j}) = \overline{g_{ij}}$. The Levi-Civita connection of g is related to that of $\overline{g}$ by , see Besse[6]

(add equation)

From this we have the following relation between Christoffel symbols

LEMMA 4. *With $X_s = \rho\overline{X_s}$, then*

$$\Gamma^u_{st} = \rho\overline{\Gamma^u_{st}} - \delta_{su}\delta_{t4} + \delta_{u4}\overline{g_{st}}. \tag{11}$$

From the relation $\overline{g}_{k4} = \delta_{k4}$, we have furthermore

$$\Gamma^k_{i4} = \frac{1}{2}\rho\overline{g}^{kl}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\overline{g}_{li} - \delta_{ik}, \Gamma^k_{4i} = \frac{1}{2}\rho\overline{g}^{kl}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\overline{g}_{li}, \Gamma^4_{ij} = \overline{g}_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\rho\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\overline{g}_{ij}. \tag{12}$$

The proof is a simple computation from the formula of Christoffel symbols. See Albin [1].

REMARK 5. *From the lemma, we see immediately that there is no first order term in ρ in the expansion of Γ^u_{st}. Note also that $\Gamma^u_{st} = 0$ if at least two of*

$\{s,t,u\}$ *are 4.*

For curvature, we have the following

LEMMA 6. *Let (M,g) be an AH manifold, and ρ a special bdf. Assume that the metric $\overline{g}$ has an expansion of the form*

$$\overline{g} = d\rho^2 + \gamma + \rho^2 g^{(2)} + \rho^3 g^{(3)} + o(\rho^3) \tag{13}$$

and let P be a full contraction of the curvature and its covariant derivatives. Then it has a similar expansion

$$P = P^{(0)} + \rho^2 P^{(2)} + \rho^3 P^{(3)} + o(\rho^3). \tag{14}$$

Proof. We only have to consider the curvature tensor R_{stuv}, and its first covariant derivatives. The others are similar. Recall the formula

$$R_{stuv} = g_{sw} R^w_{tuv} = g_{sw}(\partial_u \Gamma^w_{tv} - \partial_v \Gamma^w_{tu} + \Gamma^n_{tv}\Gamma^w_{nu} - \Gamma^n_{tu}\Gamma^w_{nv}). \tag{15}$$

We divide the consideration into three cases.

1. If $1 \le s,t,u,v \le 3$, replace s,t,u,v by i,j,k,l, then

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ijkl} &= \sum_{m=1}^{3} g_{im}(\partial_k \Gamma^m_{jl} - \partial_l \Gamma^m_{jk} + \Gamma^n_{jl}\Gamma^m_{nk} - \Gamma^n_{jk}\Gamma^m_{nl}) \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{3} \overline{g}_{im}[\rho\overline{\partial}_k(\rho\overline{\Gamma}^m_{jl}) - \rho\overline{\partial}_l(\rho\overline{\Gamma}^m_{jk}) + \\ &\qquad \rho^2 \sum_{n=1}^{3}(\overline{\Gamma}^n_{jl}\overline{\Gamma}^m_{nk} - \overline{\Gamma}^n_{jk}\overline{\Gamma}^m_{nl}) + \Gamma^4_{jl}\Gamma^m_{4k} - \Gamma^4_{jk}\Gamma^m_{4l}] \end{aligned}$$

   By the above lemma, there is no first order term in ρ appeared.

2. If one of the s,t,u,v is 4, then we can arrange the indices to reduce to computing

$$R_{ijk4} = -g_{44}(\partial_i \Gamma^4_{jk} - \partial_j \Gamma^4_{ik} + \Gamma^n_{jk}\Gamma^4_{ni} - \Gamma^n_{ik}\Gamma^4_{nj}),$$

   which has no first order term in ρ by the above lemma about Γ^4_{ij}.

3. If two of s, t, u, v are 4, we compute

$$R_{i4k4} = -g_{44}R^4_{ik4} = -g_{44}(\partial_k\Gamma^4_{i4} - \partial_4\Gamma^4_{ik} + \Gamma^n_{i4}\Gamma^4_{nk} - \Gamma^n_{ik}\Gamma^4_{n4}).$$

Now $\Gamma^4_{i4} = 0$ an $\partial_4\Gamma^4_{ik} = \rho\partial_\rho(\overline{g}_{ik} - 1/2\rho\partial_\rho\overline{g}_{ik})$, which has no first order term in ρ.

Hence all curvature tensors R_{stuv} has no first order term in ρ in the expansion. This implies all full contractions has no ρ^1 term. This completes the proof.

□

For later use, we will need some explicit formulas for the coefficients in the expansion of Γ^s_{tu} and R_{stuv} in orders of ρ. It is a simple computation from lemma 4. We list the following that will be used in the proof of the main theorem of this section. We have

$$(\Gamma^4_{ij})^{(0)} = \gamma_{ij} \tag{16}$$

$$(\Gamma^4_{ij})^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{(3)}_{ij} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} R^{(0)}_{ijkl} &= (\Gamma^4_{ik}\Gamma^4_{jl} - \Gamma^4_{il}\Gamma^4_{jk})^{(0)} \\ &= \gamma_{ik}\gamma_{jl} - \gamma_{il}\gamma_{jk} \qquad (18) \\ R^{(3)}_{ijkl} &= (\Gamma^4_{ik}\Gamma^4_{jl} - \Gamma^4_{il}\Gamma^4_{jk})^{(3)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{ik}g^{(3)}_{jl} + \gamma_{jl}g^{(3)}_{ik} - \gamma_{il}g^{(3)}_{jk} - \gamma_{jk}g^{(3)}_{il}) \qquad (19) \end{aligned}$$

We also need the relation between $g^{(i)}_{jk}$ and $v^{(i)}$. Recalling that $g^{(j)} = \frac{1}{j!}\mathcal{L}^{(j)}_{\overline{\nabla}\rho}g_\rho|_{\partial M}$, we have

$$g^{(3)}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{3}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\overline{R}_{i4j4}|_{\rho=0} \tag{20}$$

and

$$v^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{6}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\overline{r}_{4j}|_{\rho=0} \tag{21}$$

Hence in particular,

$$tr_\gamma g^{(3)} = 2v^{(3)} \tag{22}$$

Recall the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet formula from Chern [9]. We have for $\epsilon > 0$, $M_\epsilon := \{\rho \geq \epsilon\}$,

$$\int_{M_\epsilon} Pff + \int_{\rho=\epsilon} II = \chi(M_\epsilon) \tag{23}$$

where $\chi(M_\epsilon)$ is the interior Euler characteristic, Pff is the Pfaffian and II is the second fundamental form of $\{\rho = \epsilon\}$, which is given from Chern [9] in dimension four by

$$II = \frac{1}{\pi^2}(\frac{1}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^2}\Phi_0 + \frac{-1}{1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2^3}\Phi_1) \tag{24}$$

where

$$\Phi_0 = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_3} \epsilon(\sigma)\omega_{\sigma_1 4}\omega_{\sigma_2 4}\omega_{\sigma_3 4} \tag{25}$$

$$\Phi_1 = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_3} \epsilon(\sigma)\Omega_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}\omega_{\sigma_3 4} \tag{26}$$

Here $\omega_{\sigma_i 4}$ is the connection form and $\Omega_{\sigma_i\sigma_j}$ is the curvature form.

Now for ϵ sufficiently small, $\chi(M_\epsilon) = \chi(M)$. The right hand side of (23) is independent of ϵ, neither does the left hand side, and thus

$$^H\!\int Pff + FP_{\epsilon=0} \int_{\rho=\epsilon} II = \chi(M), \tag{27}$$

where $\overset{H}{\int}$ stands for Hadamard regularization. In terms of the double forms, the Pfaffian of a four dimensional manifold is

$$Pff = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2}\frac{c^4R^2}{4!2!}dvol. \tag{28}$$

A general formula holds for even dimensional manifolds, see Gray [17]. To simplify the expression of Pff in dimension four, we have the orthogonal decomposition of curvature tensor, see Besse [6]

$$R = \frac{s}{24}g \cdot g + \frac{1}{2}z \cdot g + W$$

where $z = r - \frac{s}{4}g$ is the trace free part of the Ricci tensor, and W is the Weyl tensor. So

$$Pff = \frac{1}{8\pi^2}(|W|^2 - 2|r|^2 + \frac{2}{3}s^2)dvol_g \tag{29}$$

Since $|W|^2$ is a pointwise conformal invariant, we have in dimension four,

$$\overset{H}{\int} Pff = \frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_M |W|^2 dvol_g + \frac{1}{12\pi^2}\overset{H}{\int_M}(s^2 - 3|r|^2)dvol_g \tag{30}$$

Now we state and prove the main theorem of this section.

THEOREM 7. *Let (M,g) be a four dimensional conformall compact AH manifold with special bdf ρ so that in the compactified matric $\overline{g} = \rho^2 g$, ∂M is totally geodesic. Then we have*

$$\overset{H}{\int} Pff = \chi(M), \tag{31}$$

or equivalently,

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_M |W|^2 dvol_g + \frac{1}{12\pi^2}\overset{H}{\int_M}(s^2 - 3|r|^2)dvol_g = \chi(M). \tag{32}$$

Proof. It suffices to show that the second term in (27) is zero. This is accompllished by a careful computation about the coefficient of the 0th order term in the expansion of Φ_0 and Φ_1.

For Φ_0, we have at ρ

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_0 &= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_3}\epsilon(\sigma)\omega_{\sigma_1 4}\omega_{\sigma_2 4}\omega_{\sigma_3 4} \\
&= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_3}\epsilon(\sigma)\Gamma^4_{\sigma_1 i}\omega^i \wedge \Gamma^4_{\sigma_2 j}\omega^j \wedge \Gamma^4_{\sigma_3 k}\omega^k \\
&= \sum_{\sigma,\eta\in\mathcal{S}_3}\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)\Gamma^4_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_2\eta_2}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_3\eta_3}\omega^1\wedge\omega^2\wedge\omega^3 \\
&= \sum_{\sigma,\eta\in\mathcal{S}_3}\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)\Gamma^4_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_2\eta_2}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_3\eta_3}dvol_{g_\rho} \\
&= \rho^{-3}\sum_{\sigma,\eta\in\mathcal{S}_3}\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)\Gamma^4_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_2\eta_2}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_3\eta_3}(\frac{\det g_\rho}{\det\gamma})^{1/2}dvol_\gamma \\
&= \rho^{-3}\sum_{\sigma,\eta\in\mathcal{S}_3}\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)\Gamma^4_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_2\eta_2}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_3\eta_3}(1+v^{(2)}\rho^2+v^{(3)}\rho^3+\cdots)dvol_\gamma
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient in the constant term of the expansion of Φ_0 is

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma,\eta\in\mathcal{S}_3}\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)(\Gamma^4_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_2\eta_2}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_3\eta_3})^{(3)}+v^{(3)}\sum_{\sigma,\eta\in\mathcal{S}_3}\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)(\Gamma^4_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_2\eta_2}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_3\eta_3})^{(0)}\\
= & \sum_{\sigma,\eta\in\mathcal{S}_3}\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)(\Gamma^{4(3)}_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\Gamma^{4(0)}_{\sigma_2\eta_2}\Gamma^{4(0)}_{\sigma_3\eta_3}+\Gamma^{4(0)}_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\Gamma^{4(3)}_{\sigma_2\eta_2}\Gamma^{4(0)}_{\sigma_3\eta_3}+\Gamma^{4(0)}_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\Gamma^{4(0)}_{\sigma_2\eta_2}\Gamma^{4(3)}_{\sigma_3\eta_3})\\
& +v^{(3)}\sum_{\sigma,\eta\in\mathcal{S}_3}\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)\Gamma^{4(0)}_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\Gamma^{4(0)}_{\sigma_2\eta_2}\Gamma^{4(0)}_{\sigma_3\eta_3}\\
= & -\frac{3}{2}\sum_{\sigma,\eta\in\mathcal{S}_3}\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)\gamma_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\gamma_{\sigma_2\eta_2}g^{(3)}_{\sigma_3\eta_3}+\frac{1}{6}v^{(3)}c^3(\gamma^3)\\
= & -\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{6}c^3(\gamma^2g^{(3)}))+\frac{1}{6}v^{(3)}c^3(\gamma^3)\\
= & -3tr_\gamma g^{(3)}+6v^{(3)}\\
= & \ 0
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last three equalities, we have used the expansion of Γ^4_{ij} and a formula about consecutive application of the contraction map c on double forms. See Labbi [19].
For Φ_1, we have at ρ

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_1 &= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_3}\epsilon(\sigma)\Omega_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}\omega_{\sigma 3 4}\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_3}\epsilon(\sigma)R_{\sigma_1\sigma_2ij}\omega^i\wedge\omega^j\wedge\Gamma^4_{\sigma_3k}\omega^k\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\sum\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)R_{\sigma_1\sigma_2\eta_1\eta_2}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_3\eta_3}\omega^{\eta_1}\wedge\omega^{\eta_2}\wedge\omega^{\eta_3}\\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\rho^{-3}\sum\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)R_{\sigma_1\sigma_2\eta_1\eta_2}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_3\eta_3}(1+v^{(2)}\rho^2+v^{(3)}\rho^3+\cdots)dvol_\gamma
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient in the constant term of the expansion of Φ_1 is

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2}[\sum\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)(R_{\sigma_1\sigma_2\eta_1\eta_2}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_3\eta_3})^{(3)}+v^{(3)}\sum\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)(R_{\sigma_1\sigma_2\eta_1\eta_2}\Gamma^4_{\sigma_3\eta_3})^{(0)}]\\
= & -\frac{1}{2}[\sum\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)(R^{(0)}_{\sigma_1\sigma_2\eta_1\eta_2}\Gamma^{4(3)}_{\sigma_3\eta_3}+R^{(3)}_{\sigma_1\sigma_2\eta_1\eta_2}\Gamma^{4(0)}_{\sigma_3\eta_3})+v^{(3)}\sum\epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)R^{(0)}_{\sigma_1\sigma_2\eta_1\eta_2}\Gamma^{4(0)}_{\sigma_3\eta_3}]
\end{aligned}$$

Utilizing (16)-(19), the above equals to

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{4}\sum \epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)[(\gamma_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\gamma_{\sigma_2\eta_2} - \gamma_{\sigma_1\eta_2}\gamma_{\sigma_2\eta_1})g^{(3)}_{\sigma_3\eta_3} \\
&\quad +(\gamma_{\sigma_1\eta_1}g^{(3)}_{\sigma_2\eta_2} + \gamma_{\sigma_2\eta_2}g^{(3)}_{\sigma_1\eta_1} - \gamma_{\sigma_1\eta_2}g^{(3)}_{\sigma_2\eta_1} - \gamma_{\sigma_2\eta_1}g^{(3)}_{\sigma_1\eta_2})\gamma_{\sigma_3\eta_3}] \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}v^{(3)}\sum \epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)(\gamma_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\gamma_{\sigma_2\eta_2} - \gamma_{\sigma_1\eta_2}\gamma_{\sigma_2\eta_1})\gamma_{\sigma_3\eta_3} \\
&= -\frac{3}{2}\sum \epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)\gamma_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\gamma_{\sigma_2\eta_2}g^{(3)}_{\sigma_3\eta_3} \\
&\quad +v^{(3)}\sum \epsilon(\sigma)\epsilon(\eta)\gamma_{\sigma_1\eta_1}\gamma_{\sigma_2\eta_2}\gamma_{\sigma_3\eta_3} \\
&= -\frac{3}{2}(\frac{1}{6}c^3(\gamma^2 g^{(3)})) + \frac{1}{6}v^{(3)}c^3(\gamma^3) \\
&= -3tr_\gamma g^{(3)} + 6v^{(3)} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

So the coefficient in the constant term of the expansion of II is zero. Hence $FP_{\epsilon=0}\int_{\rho=\epsilon} II = 0$. This completes the proof. □

REMARK 8. *Expanding the integrand $(s^2 - 3|r|^2)dvol_g$ of the renormalized integral, since g is AH, the zeroth order term is a constant. This shows that the above theorem gives a formula for the renormalized volume for the AH metric g. Furthermore, if (M,g) is assumed to be Poincare-Einstein with normalized Ricci tensor $r = -3g$, then $s^2 - 3|r|^2 = 36$, the above formula coincides with that of Albin's and differs by a constant to that of Anderson's.*

3 Varying the asymptotically hyperbolic metric

In this section we consider the first variation of the renormalized integral appeared in Theorem 7 under the assumption that the boundary metric γ is fixed. Instead of doing the computation directly, we will first make some modification. Recalling the trace free part of the Ricci tensor $z = r - \frac{s}{4}g$, it

has norm $|z|^2 = |r|^2 - \frac{1}{4}s^2$. Hence $s^2 - 3|r|^2 = \frac{1}{4}s^2 - 3|z|^2$. The formula in Theorem 7 is then rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_M |W|^2 dvol_g + \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\overset{H}{\int_M} s^2 dvol_g - \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\overset{H}{\int_M} |z|^2 dvol_g = \chi(M). \quad (33)$$

We will consider the variation of the intrgral $\mathcal{Z} = \overset{H}{\int_M} |z|^2 dvol_g$, so that the critical points minimize the functional

$$\frac{1}{8\pi^2}\int_M |W|^2 dvol_g + \frac{1}{48\pi^2}\overset{H}{\int_M} s^2 dvol_g. \quad (34)$$

This means that the above funcitonal has a lower bound $\chi(M)$, a topological invariant, at the critical points.

We need the following formulas for derivatives in the direction h of various curvatures expressed in double form.

Lemma 9.

$$\begin{aligned} R'h &= -\frac{1}{4}(D\tilde{D} + \tilde{D}D)h + \frac{1}{4}F_h R & (35)\\ r'h &= -\frac{1}{4}c(D\tilde{D} + \tilde{D}D)h - \frac{1}{4}cF_h R + \frac{1}{2}r\circ h + \frac{1}{2}h\circ r & (36)\\ s'h &= -\frac{1}{4}c^2(D\tilde{D} + \tilde{D}D)h - < r, h > & (37) \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equation is lemma 4.1 in [20]. It can also be computed directly from the formula in Besse [6]. The third equation is obtained by taking contraction twice of $R'h$ and by taking into account of the derivative of g. Note that $s'h$ also appeared in [20], as $h_2'h$ in the main theorem. Now we prove the second equation.
Recall that, the derivatives $\nabla'h$, $R'h$ at g of the Levi-Civita connection and the $(3,1)$-Riemannian curvature tensor are respectively given by [6]

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla'h(x,y),z) &= \frac{1}{2}\{\nabla_x h(y,z) + \nabla_y h(x,z) - \nabla_z h(x,y)\},\\ R'h(x,y)z &= (\nabla_y\nabla'h)(x,z) - (\nabla_x\nabla'h)(y,z). \end{aligned}$$

By definition,

$$r'h(x,y) = tr(z \longmapsto R'h(x,z)y).$$

Taking an orthonormal frame $\{x_i\}$ and noting that differentiation commutes with taking trace, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
r'h(x,y) &= g(R'h(x,x_i)y,x_i) \\
&= g(\nabla_{x_i}\nabla'h(x,y),x_i) - g(\nabla_x\nabla'h(x_i,y),x_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}[\nabla^2_{x_ix}h(y,x_i) + \nabla^2_{x_iy}h(x,x_i) - \nabla^2_{x_ix_i}h(x,y) \\
&\quad -\nabla^2_{xx_i}h(y,x_i) - \nabla^2_{xy}h(x_i,x_i) + \nabla^2_{xx_i}h(x_i,y)] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}[\nabla^2_{x_iy}h(x,x_i) + \nabla^2_{xx_i}h(x_i,y) - \nabla^2_{x_ix_i}h(x,y) - \nabla^2_{xy}h(x_i,x_i) \\
&\quad -h(R(x,x_i)x_i,y) - h(R(x,x_i)y,x_i)] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}D\tilde{D}h(x\wedge x_i, y\wedge x_i) - \frac{1}{2}[h(R(x,x_i)x_i,y) + h(R(x,x_i)y,x_i)]
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the identity

$$\nabla^2_{xy}h(z,u) - \nabla^2_{yx}h(z,u) = h(R(x,y)z,u) + h(R(x,y)u,z).$$

Now symmetrize the above expression and recall the definition of F_hR to get

$$\begin{aligned}
r'h(x,y) &= -\frac{1}{4}(D\tilde{D}+\tilde{D}D)h(x\wedge x_i, y\wedge x_i) \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{4}[h(R(x,x_i)y,x_i) + h(R(x,x_i)x_i,y) + h(R(y,x_i)x,x_i) + h(R(y,x_i)x_i,x)] \\
&= -\frac{1}{4}(D\tilde{D}+\tilde{D}D)h(x\wedge x_i, y\wedge x_i) - \frac{1}{4}F_hR(x\wedge x_i, y\wedge x_i) \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2}h(R(x_i,x)x_i,y) + \frac{1}{2}h(R(x_i,y)x_i,x)
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

We also need the following

LEMMA 10. *For any* $z \in \mathcal{C}^1$

$$\begin{aligned} < z, cF_hR > &= < g\cdot z, F_hR > = < F_h(g\cdot z), R > \\ &= 2 < h\cdot z, R > + < g\cdot F_h z, R > \\ &= 8 < \overset{\circ}{R}(z), h > + 2 < r\circ z, h > \qquad (38) \\ < z, \overset{\circ}{R}(h) > &= < \overset{\circ}{R}(z), h > \qquad (39) \end{aligned}$$

where $\overset{\circ}{R}(z)(x,y) = \sum_{i=1}^4 z(R(x,x_i)y, x_i)$.

Next we define for $\omega \in \mathcal{C}^2$, the generalized Einstein tensor $T_2(\omega)$ by

$$T_2(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}c^2\omega g - c\omega. \qquad (40)$$

In particular, if $\omega = R$, $T_2(R)$ is the usual Einstein tensor. We also remark that there is a general definition for T_{2q} for $(1,q)$-curvature tensor, see Labbi[19]. Now we prove the main theorem of this section.

THEOREM 11. *The Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional* $\mathcal{Z} = \overset{H}{\int_M} |z|^2 dvol_g$ *on the space of conformally compact AH metrics on* M *is*

$$T_2((D\tilde{D} + \tilde{D}D)z) = 2f \qquad (41)$$

where $f = \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 g - 4\overset{\circ}{R}(z) - r\circ z$.

Proof. Let $g(s)$ be a family of conformally compact AH metrics on M with fixed boundary, and ρ be a special bdf for $g = g(0)$. The metric $g(s)^{(0)} = \rho^2 g(s)|_{\partial M}$ then defines unique special bdfs $\rho(s) = \rho e^{w(s,\rho)}$ with respect to $g(s)$. (lemma 2.1 of [14]) We suppose that the metrics $\overline{g}(s) = \rho^2 g(s)$ all have totally geodesic boundaries. Let $h = \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0}\overline{g}(s)$, then $h|_{\partial M} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \rho}|_{\partial M} = 0$. Let $Z = |z|^2 dvol_g$, $S = s^2 dvol_g$ and $N = |r|^2 dvol_g = < r, r > dvol_g$, then $Z = N - \frac{1}{4}S$.

We begin with the computation of $Z'h$. For $S'h$, we have

$$S'h = (2ss'h + \frac{1}{2}s^2 < g, h >)dvol_g, \qquad (42)$$

where the second term on the right hand side comes from the derivative of the volume form $dvol_g$. For $N'h$, we have

$$N'h = (2 < r, r'h > -2 < r \circ r, h > + \frac{1}{2}|r|^2 < g, h >)dvol_g, \qquad (43)$$

where the second term on the right hand side is the derivative of the metric and the third term is the derivative of the volume form. So

$$\begin{aligned} Z'h &= N'h - \frac{1}{4}S'h \\ &= (\frac{1}{2}(|r|^2 - \frac{1}{4}s^2) < g, h > -2 < r \circ r, h > +2 < r, r'h > -\frac{1}{2}ss'h)dvol_g \\ &= (\frac{1}{2}|z|^2 < g, h > -\frac{1}{2} < r, cF_hR > + \frac{1}{2} < sr, h > \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2} < z \cdot g, (D\tilde{D} + \tilde{D}D)h >)dvol_g \\ &= (\frac{1}{2}|z|^2 < g, h > -4 < \overset{\circ}{R}(z), h > - < r \circ z, h > \\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2} < z \cdot g, (D\tilde{D} + \tilde{D}D)h >)dvol_g \end{aligned} \qquad (44)$$

Let

$$f = \frac{1}{2}|z|^2 g - 4\overset{\circ}{R}(z) - r \circ z, \qquad (45)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}'h &= \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \overset{R}{\underset{M}{\int}} Z = \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} \overset{R}{\underset{M}{\int}} |z|^2 dvol_g \\ &= \overset{R}{\underset{M}{\int}} < f, h > dvol_g - \frac{1}{2} \overset{R}{\underset{M}{\int}} < z \cdot g, (D\tilde{D} + \tilde{D}D)h > dvol_g \end{aligned} \qquad (46)$$

Since h satisfies $h|_{\partial M} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial h}{\partial \rho}|_{\partial M} = 0$, an easy argument involving cut-off functions shows that

$$< z \cdot g, (D\tilde{D} + \tilde{D}D)h > = < (\delta\tilde{\delta} + \tilde{\delta}\delta)(z \cdot g), h > .$$

Now using (7) and a general formula of Labbi about Hodge star operator, we have $(\delta\tilde{\delta}+\tilde{\delta}\delta)(z\cdot g)=-T_2(\omega)$, where $\omega=(D\tilde{D}+\tilde{D}D)z$. To find the Euler-Lagrange equation of the functional $\mathcal{Z}$, we have to solve

$$\int_M^H < f-\frac{1}{2}T_2(\omega), h > dvol_g = 0 \tag{47}$$

Since h is a variational field, we may divide the argument into two parts. If h is supported inside M_ϵ for some $\epsilon>0$, the above integral has no sigularity and the Euler-Lagrange equation for the above functional is $T_2(\omega)=2f$. Now let h be supported in $M\setminus M_\epsilon$. We may suppose that ϵ is small enough so that $M\setminus M_\epsilon$ has the product structure $[0,\epsilon)\times\partial M$. In $[0,\epsilon)\times\partial M$, $\overline{g}(s)$ has the expansion

$$\overline{g}(s)=d\rho^2(s)+g^{(0)}(s)+g^{(2)}(s)\rho^2(s)+g^{(3)}(s)\rho^3(s)+o(\rho^3(s))$$

and h has the expansion

$$h=d\rho^2+h^{(2)}\rho^2+h^{(3)}\rho^3+o(\rho^3)$$

Note that h has no constant term because the family $g(s)$ has fixed boundary and h has no first order term because of the form of $\overline{g}(s)$. We will solve, in terms of the ρ-independent frame $X_u=\rho\overline{X}_u$, the equation (47). First we write it as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= FP\int_0^\epsilon\int_{\partial M} < f-\frac{1}{2}T_2(\omega), h > dvol_g \\ &= FP\int_0^\epsilon \rho^{-4}\int_{\partial M} < f-\frac{1}{2}T_2(\omega), h > (\frac{\det g_\rho}{\det\gamma})^{1/2} dvol_\gamma d\rho \end{aligned}$$

Let $\phi(\rho)=\int_{\partial M} < f-\frac{1}{2}T_2(\omega), h > (\frac{\det g_\rho}{\det\gamma})^{1/2}dvol_\gamma$, then $\phi(\rho)$ has the expansion

$$\phi(\rho)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{\phi^{(k)}(0)}{k!}\rho^k.$$

In this way, the equation is reduced to

$$0 = FP \int_0^\epsilon \rho^{-4} \phi(\rho) d\rho.$$

Now a computation of Paycha [23] then shows that the finite part on the right hand side is

$$\begin{aligned} & FP \int_0^\epsilon \rho^{-4} \phi(\rho) d\rho \\ = & \frac{\phi^{(3)}(0)}{3!} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{j} - \frac{1}{3!} \int_0^\epsilon \log \rho \phi^{(4)}(\rho) d\rho \\ = & \frac{\phi^{(3)}(0)}{3!} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{1}{j} - \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \phi^{(4+r)}(0) f_r(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_r(\epsilon)$ is a function in ϵ with leading term $\epsilon^{r+1} \log \rho$. Since ϵ is an arbitrary parameter, we must have

$$\phi^{(k)}(0) = 0$$

for $k \geq 3$.

To procede further, set $E = f - \frac{1}{2} T_2(\omega)$, the integrand of $\phi(\rho)$ has the following expression

$$\phi^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} < E, h >^{(i)} v^{(k-i)}$$

where $(\frac{\det g_\rho}{\det \gamma})^{1/2}$ is expanded as

$$(\frac{\det g_\rho}{\det \gamma})^{1/2} = 1 + \rho^2 v^{(2)} + \rho^3 v^{(3)} + o(\rho^3),$$

and $< E, h >$ is expanded as

$$< E, h >= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} < E, h >^{(i)} \rho^i.$$

Now the integrand of $\phi^{(0)}(0)$ is

$$< E, h >^{(0)} =< E^{(0)}, h^{(0)} >_\gamma = 0$$

by the assumption about h. Hence $\phi^{(0)}(0) = 0$. The integrand of $\phi^{(1)}(0)$ is

$$< E, h >^{(1)} =< E^{(0)}, h^{(1)} >_\gamma + < E^{(1)}, h^{(0)} >_\gamma = 0$$

again by the assumption about h. Hence $\phi^{(1)}(0) = 0$. The integrand of $\phi^{(2)}(0)$ is

$$< E, h >^{(2)} =< E^{(0)}, h^{(2)} >_\gamma,$$

and the integrand of $\phi^{(3)}(0)$ is

$$< E, h >^{(3)} =< E^{(0)}, h^{(3)} >_\gamma + < E^{(1)}, h^{(2)} >_\gamma .$$

So $\phi^{(3)}(0) = 0$ implies that $E^{(0)} = 0$ and $E^{(1)} = 0$. And hence $\phi^{(2)}(0) = 0$.

The integrand of $\phi^{(4)}(0)$ is

$$< E^{(2)}, h^{(2)} >_\gamma .$$

So $\phi^{(4)}(0) = 0$ implies that $E^{(2)} = 0$. Solving the equation successively, we have $E^{(k)} = 0$ for $k \geq 0$. Namely, we have

$$T_2(\omega) = 2f.$$

This completes the proof.

□

References

[1] P. Albin, *Renormalizing curvature integrals on Poincare-Einstein manifolds*, Adv. Math. **221**(2009), 140-169

[2] S. Alexakis, R. Mazzeo, *Renormalized area and properly embedded minimal surfaces in hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, preprint, 2008, arXiv:0802.2250

[3] C.B. Allendoerfer, A. Weil, *The Gauss-Bonnet theorem for Riemannian polyhedra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1943) 101-129

[4] M.T. Anderson, *L^2 curvature and volume renormalization of AHE metrics on 4-manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. **8**(2001), 171-188

[5] M.T. Anderson, *Some results on the structure of conformally compact Einstein metrics*, preprint, 2005, arXiv: math.DG/0402198

[6] A.L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Springer-Verlag (1987)

[7] O. Biquard, *Metriques d'Einstein asymptotiquement symetriques*, Asterique **265**(2000)

[8] S.Y.A. Chang, J. Qing, P. Yang, *Renormalized volumes for conformally compact Einstein manifolds*, Sovrem. Mat. Fundam. Napravl. **17** (2006), 129-142

[9] S.S. Chern, *On the curvature integra in a Riemannian manifold*, Ann. of Math. **46**(1945) 674-684.

[10] P.T. Chrusciel, E. Delay, J.M. Lee, D.N. Skinner, *Boundary regularity of conformally compact Einstein metrics*, JDG **69**(2005) 111-136

[11] C. Fefferman, C.R. Graham, *Conformal invariants*, Asterisque (1985) 95-116

[12] C. Fefferman, C.R. Graham, *Q-curvature and Poincare metrics*, Math. Res. Lett. **9**(2002), 139-151

[13] C. Fefferman, C.R. Graham, *The ambient metric*, preprint, arXiv:0710.0919

[14] C.R. Graham, *Volume and area renormalizations for conformally compact Einstein metrics*, in: The Proceedings of the 19th Winter School "Geometry and Physics" 31-42

[15] C.R. Graham, J.M. Lee, *Einstein metrics with prescribed conformal infinity on the ball*, Adv. Math. **87**(1991), 186-225

[16] C.R. Graham, E. Witten, *Conformal anomaly of submanifold observables in AdS/CFT correspondence*, Nuclear Phys. B**546**(1999), 52-64

[17] A. Gray, *Tubes*, 2nd ed. Prog. Math. vol 221

[18] M. Henningson, K. Skenderis, *The holographic Weyl anomaly*, J. High Ehergy Phys. **7**(1998), Paper 23

[19] M.L. Labbi, *Double forms, curvature structures and the (p,q)-curvatures*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), 3971-3992

[20] M.L. Labbi, *Variational properties of the Gauss-Bonnet curvatures*, Cal. Var. PDE **32** (2008), 175-189

[21] J.M. Lee, *Fredholm operators and Einstein metrics on conformally compactmanifolds*, Mem. AMS 183 (864) (2006)

[22] D. Lovelock, *The Einstein tensor and its generalizations*, J. Math. Phys.textbf12 (1971), 498-501

[23] S. Paycha, *Anomalies and regularization techniques in mathematics and physics*, preprint

[24] E. Witten, *Anti de Sitter space and holography*, Adv. Theor. Math Phys. **2**(1998), 253-291